

**SGIP Cycle 6
Capital Projects Evaluation Workbook**

Capital Evaluation Workbook - Applicant Index

Application ID	Applicant Name	Contact Name	Project Title	Shortened Project Title	Brief Project Summary	SGIP Grant Request Amount	Total Matching Funds Provided	Total Project Cost
ESC-2	Escondido	Matt Souterre	Grand Avenue Vision Project	Grand Ave.	The project will improve a downtown corridor with wider sidewalks, improved pedestrian crossings, and a roundabout in the City of Escondido.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 3,000,000
IB-2	Imperial Beach	Juan Larios	Palm Avenue Streetscape and Gateway Project	Palm Ave. & Gtwy. Project	Add beautification elements, gateway signs, and landscaping features to Palm Avenue in Imperial Beach between 13th Street and Rainbow Drive	\$ 2,399,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 2,999,000
NC-1	National City	Stephen Manganiello	16th and 18th Street Community Corridors	16th and. & 18th. Corridors	The project proposes to construct traffic calming features, pedestrian safety elements, and Class III bicycle facilities (bicycle boulevards) along 1.5 miles on 16th Street and 1 mile on 18th Street to close the gap in mobility for east-west non-motorized travelers in National City.	\$ 3,477,895	\$ 875,000	\$ 4,352,895
NC-3	National City	Luca Zappiello	Civic Center Drive Bicycle Connections	Civic Center Bike	The project proposes constructing separated bike lanes on Civic Center Drive from Wilson Avenue to Harbor Drive. The proposed improvements will provide a safe, comfortable connection to the Bayshore Bikeway which is proposed on Harbor Drive.	\$ 3,116,740	\$ 350,000	\$ 3,466,740
NC-5	National City	Stephen Manganiello	Highland Avenue Mobility Mini-Hubs	Highland Ave. MMH	The proposed project will implement Mobility Mini-Hubs at eighteen (18) of the busiest bus stops along Highland Avenue, as measured by average daily boardings. Each of the eighteen stops will receive mobility hub features that are applicable to the stop's location, surrounding context, and available space. Features will include shelters, lighting, benches, trash receptacles, enhanced pedestrian crossings, curb extension to allow buses to stop in the travel lane, accessibility improvements, bike parking, cell phone charging ports, and bike fixit stations.	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 3,505,000
NC-7	National City	Stephen Manganiello	National City Boulevard Smart Growth Revitalization Project	NC Blvd.	The National City Boulevard Smart Growth Revitalization Project brings walkability and safety improvements to the corridor in the form of curb extensions, pedestrian lighting, leading pedestrian intervals, and high visibility crosswalks. Beautification improvements like new sidewalk and street trees promote pedestrian comfortability. All improvements will boost transit ridership, aiming to promote further development along the corridor.	\$ 3,499,450	\$ 875,550	\$ 4,375,000
SM-3	San Marcos	Isaac Etchamendy	San Marcos Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements	SM Blvd.. MMI	The project proposes to realign the existing sidewalk along San Marcos Boulevard to provide a bike lane separated from high-speed traffic with a proposed median. This improvement includes the addition of street trees and pedestrian scaled lighting along the new walkway. The project also proposes to include a new midblock crosswalk with a pedestrian signal and signage. See Appendix B for improvement plan exhibits.	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 470,697	\$ 3,970,697
OC-2	Oceanside	Victor Velasco	Coast Highway Corridor Improvements Phase 1	Coast Hwy Ph 1	Reduce traffic lanes from two to one, construct a roundabout, midblock crossing, several curb extensions, bus stop enhancements, and designated bike lanes.	\$ 3,499,474	\$ 2,088,768	\$ 5,588,242
DM-1	Del Mar	Amanda Lee	City of Del Mar 10th Street Affordable Housing	DM 10th St. Aff. Housing	Facilitate development of affordable housing (4 to 8 units) for lower income households on a small city owned vacant lot.	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,304,600	\$ 4,804,600
DM-3	Del Mar	Karen Falk	Camino Del Mar Bridge Replacement Project	Bridge Rep.	Replace existing roadway bridge over the San Dieguito River.	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 70,550,000	\$ 73,250,000
ENC-1	Encinitas	Jill Bankston	La Costa Avenue Pedestrian Path	La Costa PP	Construct a decomposed granite (DG) pedestrian walkway.	\$ 1,197,238	\$ 100,000	\$ 1,297,238
ENC-2	Encinitas	Jill Bankston	Trail 82 - Rancho Santa Fe Road Improvements	Trail 82	Construction of multi-use trail along the east side of Rancho Santa Fe Road	\$ 3,477,310	\$ 250,000	\$ 3,727,310
LM-1	La Mesa	Michael Kinnard	La Mesa Boulevard (Blvd.) Complete Streets Gateway Roundabout	LM Blvd. Roundabout	Construction of a new roundabout as well as pedestrian, bicycle, and landscaping enhancement	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 492,000	\$ 3,992,000
LG-1	Lemon Grove	Izzy Murguia	Broadway and Sweetwater Road Intersection Improvements	Broadway & SW II	Construct a roundabout or protected intersection of Broadway and Sweetwater Road.	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 3,501,000
SD-2	San Diego	Everett Hauser	Chollas Creek to Bayshore Bikeway	Cholla Bikeway	It will construct Complete Street improvements including separated bikeways, traffic calming, and accessibility features.	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 876,000	\$ 4,376,000
SD-4	San Diego	Gary Chiu	La Jolla Streetscape Project	LJ Streetscape	Upgraded sidewalks and crosswalks, shortened crossing distances, expanded pedestrian zones, enhanced street lighting, drought tolerance landscaping, stormwater management solutions, and curb extensions	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,900,000	\$ 6,400,000
SD-7	San Diego	Saba Raisemali	Vibrant C: Redefining the C Street Corridor	Vibrant C	Public improvement initiative that redefines the central transit corridor as a pedestrian prioritized safe multimodal destination	\$ 3,250,000	\$ -	\$ 3,250,000
SB-1	Solana Beach	Dan Goldberg	Connect Glencrest - Glencrest Drive Pedestrian Connectivity Project	Glencrest	Transform Glencrest Drive into a pedestrian friendly street by adding sidewalks on both sides of the street	\$ 605,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 680,000
SB-2	Solana Beach	Anda Wright	Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvements - West of Interstate 5	Loma Santa Fe	Construct 4000 ft of multi-use trail, curb pop-outs, 23 ADA curb ramps, crosswalks, bike lane buffers and green striping	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 4,740,000	\$ 8,240,000
VS-2	Vista	Husam Hasenin	City of Vista W. Bobier Dr. Complete Streets	Complete Streets	Construct traffic calming and pedestrian bicycle facilities along W. Bobier Drive: concrete curb, gutter, sidewalk, curb extensions	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 4,300,000

Capital Evaluation Workbook - Applicant Index

CNTY-2	County	Richard Chin	Poinsettia Avenue Active Transportation Improvements Project	Poinsettia	The project entails 5 ADA ramps, 1,500 LF of 12' wide sidewalk, 2 crosswalks, 23 CY of gravity retaining walls, and 4 wayfinding and safety signs	\$ 2,080,198	\$ -	\$ 2,080,198
EL-1	El Cajon	Olga Reyes	El Cajon Boulevard Streetscape Phase 2	EC Blvd. Ph 2	The El Cajon Boulevard Streetscape Phase 2 project will complete the corridor by installing road diets and lane narrowing, construct Class II separated bikeways, sidewalks and accessible facilities, pedestrian site furnishings and drought tolerant landscaping to close the gap between the two projects mentioned above. These improvements will extend the transit center's mobility hub and active transportation connections to nearby health care offices, schools, social services, and neighborhoods.	\$ 2,712,275	\$ 335,225	\$ 3,047,500
EL-3	El Cajon	Raul Armenta	Safety Corridors Traffic Signal Optimizations	Safety Optimization	The project proposes to install adaptive traffic signal timing control systems and advanced video detection systems along major safety corridors at 47 intersections that will improve safety and efficiency of all travel modes.	\$ 1,394,096	\$ 172,510	\$ 1,566,606
						\$ 66,908,676	\$ 88,861,349	\$ 155,770,025

Capital Evaluation Workbook - Evaluator Scores (E1)

SGIP Evaluator #1 Score Sheet
Evaluator Instructions:
Step 1: Review the SGIP Cycle 6 Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric included in your Evaluator Packet.
Step 2: Score each project application's qualitative responses (non-blacked out box) using the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric. While most qualitative responses can be found in the Project Narrative section of the Project Application, others can be found in the Project Scope of Work and other application materials. SANDAG staff will score the quantitative (blacked-out columns) responses against the quantitative evaluation criteria, which vary based on project type. To score the qualitative responses, select a number from the drop-down menu which corresponds to the options in the scoring rubric. Do not select a zero unless a response to a criterion is missing or is so incomplete or incoherent that you cannot reasonably understand or infer the meaning of the response. The Total Evaluator Score per project will auto populate.
Step 3: For Capital Projects , provide scores for Capital Projects Evaluation Criteria table ONLY

Step 2: Score Project Applications																
Application ID	Project Title	Evaluation Criteria													Total Evaluator Score	
		1	2						3	4			5			6
		Up to 10 points	A.		B.		C.		Up to 15 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 5 points	Up to 12.5 points	Up to 12.5 points		Up to 5 points
		Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points		Up to 3 points
ESC-2	Grand Avenue Vision Project		2				3	11		13	3				32	
IB-2	Palm Avenue Streetscape and Gateway Project		2				3	13		13	3				34	
NC-1	16th and 18th Street Community Corridors		3				3	13		14	3				36	
NC-3	Civic Center Drive Bicycle Connections		2				3	12		13	5				35	
NC-5	Highland Avenue Mobility Mini-Hubs		2				3	12		12	5				34	
NC-7	National City Boulevard Smart Growth Revitalization Project		3				3	13		13	5				37	
SM-3	San Marcos Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements		3				3	10		11	5				32	
OC-2	Coast Highway Corridor Improvements Phase 1		3				3	11		11	3				31	
DM-1	City of Del Mar 10th Street Affordable Housing		3				3	9		12	3				30	
DM-3	Camino Del Mar Bridge Replacement Project		2				3	10		11	3				29	
ENC-1	La Costa Avenue Pedestrian Path		3				1	12		12	3				31	
ENC-2	Trail 82 - Rancho Santa Fe Road Improvements		3				1	12		11	3				30	
LM-1	La Mesa Boulevard (Blvd.) Complete Streets Gateway Roundabout		3				3	13		13	5				37	
LG-1	Broadway and Sweetwater Road Intersection Improvements		3				3	12		13	5				36	
SD-2	Chollas Creek to Bayshore Bikeway		2				3	14		13	5				37	
SD-4	La Jolla Streetscape Project		3				3	12		10	3				31	
SD-7	Vibrant C: Redefining the C Street Corridor		3				3	12		12	5				35	
SB-1	Connect Glencrest - Glencrest Drive Pedestrian Connectivity Project		2				1	11		13	3				30	
SB-2	Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvements - West of Interstate 5		2				1	10		12	3				28	
VS-2	City of Vista W. Bobier Dr. Complete Streets		3				3	13		12	3				34	
CNTY-2	Poinsettia Avenue Active Transportation Improvements Project		3				3	11		12	3				32	
EL-1	El Cajon Boulevard Streetscape Phase 2		3				3	14		13	3				36	
EL-3	Safety Corridors Traffic Signal Optimizations		3				3	13		12	3				34	

Capital Evaluation Workbook - Evaluator Scores (E2)

SGIP Evaluator #2 Score Sheet
Evaluator Instructions:
Step 1: Review the SGIP Cycle 6 Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric included in your Evaluator Packet.
Step 2: Score each project application's qualitative responses (non-blacked out box) using the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric. While most qualitative responses can be found in the Project Narrative section of the Project Application, others can be found in the Project Scope of Work and other application materials. SANDAG staff will score the quantitative (blacked-out columns) responses against the quantitative evaluation criteria, which vary based on project type. To score the qualitative responses, select a number from the drop-down menu which corresponds to the options in the scoring rubric. Do not select a zero unless a response to a criterion is missing or is so incomplete or incoherent that you cannot reasonably understand or infer the meaning of the response. The Total Evaluator Score per project will auto populate.
Step 3: For Capital Projects , provide scores for Capital Projects Evaluation Criteria table ONLY

Step 2: Score Project Applications																
Application ID	Project Title	Evaluation Criteria													Total Evaluator Score	
		1	2						3	4			5			6
		A.	B.		C.		A.		B.	C.	A.	B.	A.			
		Up to 10 points	i.	ii.	i.	ii.	i.	ii.	Up to 15 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 5 points	Up to 12.5 points	Up to 12.5 points		Up to 5 points
ESC-2	Grand Avenue Vision Project		2				1	7		8	1				19	
IB-2	Palm Avenue Streetscape and Gateway Project		2				3	12		12	3				32	
NC-1	16th and 18th Street Community Corridors		3				1	14		13	5				36	
NC-3	Civic Center Drive Bicycle Connections		3				3	14		14	3				37	
NC-5	Highland Avenue Mobility Mini-Hubs		2				3	12		12	3				32	
NC-7	National City Boulevard Smart Growth Revitalization Project		2				3	12		12	3				32	
SM-3	San Marcos Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements		2				1	14		11	1				29	
OC-2	Coast Highway Corridor Improvements Phase 1		3				1	12		11	3				30	
DM-1	City of Del Mar 10th Street Affordable Housing		2				1	10		10	3				26	
DM-3	Camino Del Mar Bridge Replacement Project		2				1	12		11	3				29	
ENC-1	La Costa Avenue Pedestrian Path		2				1	12		12	5				32	
ENC-2	Trail 82 - Rancho Santa Fe Road Improvements		2				1	9		11	1				24	
LM-1	La Mesa Boulevard (Blvd.) Complete Streets Gateway Roundabout		3				1	13		11	3				31	
LG-1	Broadway and Sweetwater Road Intersection Improvements		1				1	10		8	3				23	
SD-2	Chollas Creek to Bayshore Bikeway		3				1	13		14	5				36	
SD-4	La Jolla Streetscape Project		3				0	10		11	3				27	
SD-7	Vibrant C: Redefining the C Street Corridor		3				1	13		13	3				33	
SB-1	Connect Glencrest - Glencrest Drive Pedestrian Connectivity Project		2				1	10		12	3				28	
SB-2	Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvements - West of Interstate 5		1				1	11		10	1				24	
VS-2	City of Vista W. Bobier Dr. Complete Streets		3				3	8		11	5				30	
CNTY-2	Poinsettia Avenue Active Transportation Improvements Project		2				1	11		12	3				29	
EL-1	El Cajon Boulevard Streetscape Phase 2		2				3	13		12	3				33	
EL-3	Safety Corridors Traffic Signal Optimizations		1				1	8		8	1				19	

Capital Evaluation Workbook - Evaluator Scores (E3)

SGIP Evaluator #3 Score Sheet												
Evaluator Instructions:												
Step 1: Review the SGIP Cycle 6 Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric included in your Evaluator Packet.												
Step 2: Score each project application's qualitative responses (non-blacked out box) using the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric. While most qualitative responses can be found in the Project Narrative section of the Project Application, others can be found in the Project Scope of Work and other application materials. SANDAG staff will score the quantitative (blacked-out columns) responses against the quantitative evaluation criteria, which vary based on project type. To score the qualitative responses, select a number from the drop-down menu which corresponds to the options in the scoring rubric. Do not select a zero unless a response to a criterion is missing or is so incomplete or incoherent that you cannot reasonably understand or infer the meaning of the response. The Total Evaluator Score per project will auto populate.												
Step 3: For Capital Projects , provide scores for Capital Projects Evaluation Criteria table ONLY												

Step 2: Score Project Applications																
Application ID	Project Title	Evaluation Criteria												Total Evaluator Score		
		1	2				3	4			5		6			
		Up to 10 points	A.		B.		C.		Up to 15 points	A.	B.	C.	A.		B.	A.
			Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points		Up to 10 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 5 points	Up to 12.5 points		Up to 12.5 points	Up to 5 points
ESC-2	Grand Avenue Vision Project		3				3	14		14	5			39		
IB-2	Palm Avenue Streetscape and Gateway Project		3				3	14		13	3			36		
NC-1	16th and 18th Street Community Corridors		3				3	13		12	3			34		
NC-3	Civic Center Drive Bicycle Connections		3				3	14		13	3			36		
NC-5	Highland Avenue Mobility Mini-Hubs		2				3	13		12	3			33		
NC-7	National City Boulevard Smart Growth Revitalization Project		3				3	12		12	3			33		
SM-3	San Marcos Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements		3				3	14		13	3			36		
OC-2	Coast Highway Corridor Improvements Phase 1		3				3	15		14	5			40		
DM-1	City of Del Mar 10th Street Affordable Housing		3				3	11		11	3			31		
DM-3	Camino Del Mar Bridge Replacement Project		2				1	9		11	1			24		
ENC-1	La Costa Avenue Pedestrian Path		1				1	12		13	3			30		
ENC-2	Trail 82 - Rancho Santa Fe Road Improvements		2				1	12		12	3			30		
LM-1	La Mesa Boulevard (Blvd.) Complete Streets Gateway Roundabout		3				3	13		12	3			34		
LG-1	Broadway and Sweetwater Road Intersection Improvements		2				3	11		12	1			29		
SD-2	Chollas Creek to Bayshore Bikeway		3				3	12		12	5			35		
SD-4	La Jolla Streetscape Project		2				1	10		10	3			26		
SD-7	Vibrant C: Redefining the C Street Corridor		3				1	13		13	5			35		
SB-1	Connect Glencrest - Glencrest Drive Pedestrian Connectivity Project		3				1	13		14	3			34		
SB-2	Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvements - West of Interstate 5		3				1	13		13	3			33		
VS-2	City of Vista W. Bobier Dr. Complete Streets		3				3	14		14	3			37		
CNTY-2	Poinsettia Avenue Active Transportation Improvements Project		2				1	11		12	3			29		
EL-1	El Cajon Boulevard Streetscape Phase 2		3				3	14		14	5			39		
EL-3	Safety Corridors Traffic Signal Optimizations		1				1	10		12	1			25		

Capital Evaluation Workbook - Evaluator Scores (E4)

SGIP Evaluator #4 Score Sheet												
Evaluator Instructions:												
Step 1: Review the SGIP Cycle 6 Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric included in your Evaluator Packet.												
Step 2: Score each project application's qualitative responses (non-blacked out box) using the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric. While most qualitative responses can be found in the Project Narrative section of the Project Application, others can be found in the Project Scope of Work and other application materials. SANDAG staff will score the quantitative (blacked-out columns) responses against the quantitative evaluation criteria, which vary based on project type. To score the qualitative responses, select a number from the drop-down menu which corresponds to the options in the scoring rubric. Do not select a zero unless a response to a criterion is missing or is so incomplete or incoherent that you cannot reasonably understand or infer the meaning of the response. The Total Evaluator Score per project will auto populate.												
Step 3: For Capital Projects , provide scores for Capital Projects Evaluation Criteria table ONLY												

Step 2: Score Project Applications																
Application ID	Project Title	Evaluation Criteria											Total Evaluator Score			
		1	2				3	4			5			6		
		Up to 10 points	A.		B.		C.		Up to 15 points	A.	B.	C.		A.	B.	A.
			Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points	Up to 2 points	Up to 3 points		Up to 10 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 5 points		Up to 12.5 points	Up to 12.5 points	Up to 5 points
ESC-2	Grand Avenue Vision Project		2				1	10		12	3			28		
IB-2	Palm Avenue Streetscape and Gateway Project		1				3	9		9	3			25		
NC-1	16th and 18th Street Community Corridors		3				3	13		12	5			36		
NC-3	Civic Center Drive Bicycle Connections		3				3	12		11	5			34		
NC-5	Highland Avenue Mobility Mini-Hubs		3				3	13		11	5			35		
NC-7	National City Boulevard Smart Growth Revitalization Project		3				3	11		10	5			32		
SM-3	San Marcos Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements		3				1	11		11	3			29		
OC-2	Coast Highway Corridor Improvements Phase 1		3				3	12		12	3			33		
DM-1	City of Del Mar 10th Street Affordable Housing		2				1	9		10	3			25		
DM-3	Camino Del Mar Bridge Replacement Project		2				1	10		13	1			27		
ENC-1	La Costa Avenue Pedestrian Path		3				1	11		11	3			29		
ENC-2	Trail 82 - Rancho Santa Fe Road Improvements		2				1	9		11	3			26		
LM-1	La Mesa Boulevard (Blvd.) Complete Streets Gateway Roundabout		3				3	12		9	5			32		
LG-1	Broadway and Sweetwater Road Intersection Improvements		2				3	10		10	3			28		
SD-2	Chollas Creek to Bayshore Bikeway		3				3	12		9	3			30		
SD-4	La Jolla Streetscape Project		2				1	10		9	5			27		
SD-7	Vibrant C: Redefining the C Street Corridor		2				3	11		9	3			28		
SB-1	Connect Glencrest - Glencrest Drive Pedestrian Connectivity Project		2				1	10		13	5			31		
SB-2	Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvements - West of Interstate 5		3				1	11		11	3			29		
VS-2	City of Vista W. Bobier Dr. Complete Streets		3				1	11		12	3			30		
CNTY-2	Poinsettia Avenue Active Transportation Improvements Project		2				3	10		11	3			29		
EL-1	El Cajon Boulevard Streetscape Phase 2		3				3	12		10	3			31		
EL-3	Safety Corridors Traffic Signal Optimizations		1				1	4		9	1			16		

Capital Evaluation Workbook - Evaluator Scores (E5)

SGIP Evaluator #5 Score Sheet

Evaluator Instructions:

Step 1: Review the SGIP Cycle 6 Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric included in your Evaluator Packet.

Step 2: Score each project application's qualitative responses (non-blacked out box) using the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric. While most qualitative responses can be found in the Project Narrative section of the Project Application, others can be found in the Project Scope of Work and other application materials. SANDAG staff will score the quantitative (blacked-out columns) responses against the quantitative evaluation criteria, which vary based on project type. To score the qualitative responses, select a number from the drop-down menu which corresponds to the options in the scoring rubric. Do not select a zero unless a response to a criterion is missing or is so incomplete or incoherent that you cannot reasonably understand or infer the meaning of the response. The Total Evaluator Score per project will auto populate.

Step 3: For **Capital Projects**, provide scores for Capital Projects Evaluation Criteria table ONLY

Step 2: Score Project Applications																
Application ID	Project Title	Evaluation Criteria												Total Evaluator Score		
		1	2				3	4			5		6			
		Up to 10 points	A.		B.		C.		Up to 15 points	A.	B.	C.	A.		B.	A.
			i.	ii.	i.	ii.	i.	ii.		Up to 10 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 5 points	Up to 12.5 points		Up to 12.5 points	Up to 5 points
ESC-2	Grand Avenue Vision Project		2				1	8		3	5			19		
IB-2	Palm Avenue Streetscape and Gateway Project		1				3	8		3	3			18		
NC-1	16th and 18th Street Community Corridors		2				3	9		10	3			27		
NC-3	Civic Center Drive Bicycle Connections		2				3	12		13	3			33		
NC-5	Highland Avenue Mobility Mini-Hubs		2				3	11		12	3			31		
NC-7	National City Boulevard Smart Growth Revitalization Project		2				3	12		12	3			32		
SM-3	San Marcos Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements		2				1	12		12	3			30		
OC-2	Coast Highway Corridor Improvements Phase 1		3				3	13		14	3			36		
DM-1	City of Del Mar 10th Street Affordable Housing		3				3	14		9	3			32		
DM-3	Camino Del Mar Bridge Replacement Project		1				0	10		8	1			20		
ENC-1	La Costa Avenue Pedestrian Path		1				1	11		13	3			29		
ENC-2	Trail 82 - Rancho Santa Fe Road Improvements		1				1	10		12	3			27		
LM-1	La Mesa Boulevard (Blvd.) Complete Streets Gateway Roundabout		2				1	12		12	3			30		
LG-1	Broadway and Sweetwater Road Intersection Improvements		3				3	14		14	5			39		
SD-2	Chollas Creek to Bayshore Bikeway		2				3	12		12	3			32		
SD-4	La Jolla Streetscape Project		2				0	12		8	3			25		
SD-7	Vibrant C: Redefining the C Street Corridor		1				1	10		9	5			26		
SB-1	Connect Glencrest - Glencrest Drive Pedestrian Connectivity Project		2				1	10		13	5			31		
SB-2	Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvements - West of Interstate 5		3				1	13		11	3			31		
VS-2	City of Vista W. Bobier Dr. Complete Streets		2				3	13		13	5			36		
CNTY-2	Poinsettia Avenue Active Transportation Improvements Project		2				3	12		14	3			34		
EL-1	El Cajon Boulevard Streetscape Phase 2		2				3	10		10	3			28		
EL-3	Safety Corridors Traffic Signal Optimizations		3				3	13		12	1			32		

Capital Evaluation Workbook - BP33

PART A - PROHOUSING POLICIES

Application ID	Prohousing Designation*		
	Prohousing Designation	Count "Yes"	Points Earned
ESC-2	No	0	0
IB-2	No	0	0
NC-1	No	0	0
NC-3	No	0	0
NC-5	No	0	0
NC-7	No	0	0
SM-3	No	0	0
OC-2	No	0	0
DM-1	No	0	0
DM-3	No	0	0
ENC-1	No	0	0
ENC-2	No	0	0
LM-1	No	0	0
LG-1	No	0	0
SD-2	Yes	1	12.5
SD-4	Yes	1	12.5
SD-7	Yes	1	12.5
SB-1	No	0	0
SB-2	No	0	0
VS-2	No	0	0
CNTY-2	Yes	1	12.5
EL-1	No	0	0
EL-3	No	0	0

Cells in yellow indicate places where data needs to be filled in.
Cells in white indicate places where data is populated by a formula or VLOOKUP.

*For Categories 1-4 below, applicants with a ProHousing Designation received a "No" under each ProHousing Policy Category because they already received the full points for this criteria by having the ProHousing Designation.

Application ID	Category 1: Favorable Zoning and Land Use Policies															Total Points Earned (Up to 5 points)
	Policies worth 5 points each						Policies worth 2.5 points each									
	Housing element plan for zoned capacity of >150% of RHNA	Permitting missing middle uses (e.g., duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes, townhomes) in existing low-density single-family zones	Eliminating minimum parking requirements	Allowing residential in commercial zones	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Allowing more/larger accessory dwelling units (ADUs) than state law requires	Density bonus that is >10% more than state requirements	Established Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone (WHOZ) or housing sustainability district	Standards to promote more density	Housing element plan for zoned capacity of >125% of RHNA	Reduced parking requirements	Count "Yes"	Points Earned		
ESC-2	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5	
IB-2	No	No	No	Yes	1	5	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	5	
NC-1	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3	15	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	10	5	
NC-3	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3	15	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	10	5	
NC-5	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3	15	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	10	5	
NC-7	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3	15	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	10	5	
SM-3	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	2.5	
OC-2	No	No	No	Yes	1	5	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	10	5	
DM-1	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5	
DM-3	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5	
ENC-1	Yes	Yes	No	No	2	10	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	5	
ENC-2	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	5	
LM-1	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	5	
LG-1	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	7.5	5	
SD-2	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
SD-4	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
SD-7	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
SB-1	Yes	No	No	Yes	2	10	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	2	5	5	
SB-2	Yes	No	No	Yes	2	10	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	2	5	5	
VS-2	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	10	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	5	5	
CNTY-2	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
EL-1	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	15	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	3	7.5	5	
EL-3	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	15	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	3	7.5	5	

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

Capital Evaluation Workbook - BP33

Application ID	Category 2: Acceleration of Housing Production Timeframes																Total Points Earned (Up to 5 points)
	Policies worth 5 points each							Policies worth 2.5 points each									
	Ministerial approval of housing	Streamlined/program-level California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for general plans/specific plans etc.	Permit process that is less than two months	Elimination of public hearings for projects consistent with zoning/general plan	One-stop shop permitting processes or single point of contact	Priority permit processing or reduced plan check times for ADUs/junior ADUs, multifamily, or affordable housing	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Streamlined housing development at the project level	Permit process that is less than four months	Three public hearings limit for projects consistent with zoning/general plan	Eliminated or replaced subjective design standards with objective standards that simplify zoning	Standard entitlement application	Publicly posting online status updates on permit approvals	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	
ESC-2	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	2	10	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	5
IB-2	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5
NC-1	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	4	20	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	4	10	5
NC-3	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	4	20	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	4	10	5
NC-5	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	4	20	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	4	10	5
NC-7	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	4	20	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	4	10	5
SM-3	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5
OC-2	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	2	10	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	7.5	5
DM-1	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4	20	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	1	2.5	5
DM-3	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4	20	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	1	2.5	5
ENC-1	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	3	15	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	10	5
ENC-2	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	3	15	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	10	5
LM-1	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	1	5	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	7.5	5
LG-1	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	3	15	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	1	2.5	5
SD-2	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0
SD-4	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0
SD-7	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0
SB-1	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	10	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5
SB-2	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	10	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5
VS-2	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	3	15	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	3	7.5	5
CNTY-2	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0
EL-1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6	30	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	5	12.5	5
EL-3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6	30	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	5	12.5	5

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

Application ID	Category 3: Reduction of Construction and Development Costs													Total Points Earned (Up to 5 points)
	Policies worth 5 points each					Policies worth 2.5 points each								
	Waived development impact fees for housing	Adopted universal design ordinances	Preapproved prototype plans for missing middle housing (e.g., duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes, townhomes)	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Measures that reduce costs for transportation-related infrastructure or that encourage active transit or other alternatives to cars	Reduced development impact fees for housing	Less restrictive ADU standards than state requirements	Fee reduction, including deferrals or reduced fees for housing with people with special needs	Promoting innovative housing types that reduced development costs	Count "Yes"	Points Earned		
ESC-2	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	Yes	No	No	1	2.5	2.5	
IB-2	No	No	Yes	1	5	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	5	
NC-1	Yes	Yes	No	2	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4	10	5	
NC-3	Yes	Yes	No	2	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4	10	5	
NC-5	Yes	Yes	No	2	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4	10	5	
NC-7	Yes	Yes	No	2	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4	10	5	
SM-3	No	No	No	0	0	Yes	No	No	No	No	1	2.5	2.5	
OC-2	No	No	No	0	0	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	3	7.5	5	
DM-1	No	Yes	No	1	5	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	2	5	5	
DM-3	No	Yes	No	1	5	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	2	5	5	
ENC-1	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	2.5	
ENC-2	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	2.5	
LM-1	Yes	No	No	1	5	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	3	7.5	5	
LG-1	No	No	Yes	1	5	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	2	5	5	
SD-2	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
SD-4	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
SD-7	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
SB-1	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	5	5	
SB-2	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	5	5	
VS-2	Yes	Yes	No	2	10	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4	10	5	
CNTY-2	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
EL-1	Yes	Yes	No	2	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4	10	5	
EL-3	Yes	Yes	No	2	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4	10	5	

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

Capital Evaluation Workbook - BP33

Application ID	Category 4: Providing Financial Subsidies											Total Points Earned (Up to 5 points)
	Policies worth 5 points each					Policies worth 2.5 points each						
	Local housing trust fund or collaboration on regional fund	Program to comply with Surplus Lands Act and make publicly owned land available for affordable housing	Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD)	Prioritization of local general funds for affordable housing	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Grants/low-interest loans for affordable ADUs	Direct residual redevelopment funds to affordable housing	Development and regular use of housing subsidy pool, local/regional trust, or similar funding source	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	
ESC-2	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
IB-2	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
NC-1	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5
NC-3	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5
NC-5	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5
NC-7	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5
SM-3	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5
OC-2	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	0	0	5
DM-1	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	0	0	5
DM-3	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	0	0	5
ENC-1	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
ENC-2	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
LM-1	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
LG-1	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	10	No	No	No	0	0	5
SD-2	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
SD-4	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
SD-7	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
SB-1	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	No	No	No	0	0	5
SB-2	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	No	No	No	0	0	5
VS-2	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	10	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5
CNTY-2	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
EL-1	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	7.5	5
EL-3	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	7.5	5

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

VLOOKUP PART A - PROHOUSING POLICIES

Application ID	Prohousing Designation	Category 1: Favorable Zoning and Land Use Policies	Category 2: Acceleration of Housing Production Timeframes	Category 3: Reduction of Construction and Development Costs	Category 4: Providing Financial Subsidies	Total Points Earned (Max 12.5 Points)
ESC-2	0	5	5	2.5	0	12.5
IB-2	0	5	5	5	0	12.5
NC-1	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
NC-3	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
NC-5	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
NC-7	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
SM-3	0	2.5	5	2.5	5	12.5
OC-2	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
DM-1	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
DM-3	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
ENC-1	0	5	5	2.5	0	12.5
ENC-2	0	5	5	2.5	0	12.5
LM-1	0	5	5	5	0	12.5
LG-1	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
SD-2	12.5	0	0	0	0	12.5
SD-4	12.5	0	0	0	0	12.5
SD-7	12.5	0	0	0	0	12.5
SB-1	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
SB-2	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
VS-2	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
CNTY-2	12.5	0	0	0	0	12.5
EL-1	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
EL-3	0	5	5	5	5	12.5

Capital Evaluation Workbook - BP33

PART B - HOUSING EQUITY/AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

Application ID	Housing Equity														Total Points Earned (Max 12.5 points)	
	Policies worth 5 points each								Policies worth 2.5 points each							
	An adopted inclusionary housing ordinance	Rent stabilization policies (townhomes) in existing low-density single-family zones	Anti-displacement policies in conjunction with transit improvements	Strategies or funds to preserve naturally occurring affordable housing	Tenant protection policies such as access to counsel, just cause eviction policy, etc.	Rezoning and other policies that result in a net gain of low- and moderate-income housing while concurrently mitigating development impacts on or from environmentally sensitive or hazardous areas.	Programs, and land use plans and policies that are intended to result in increased investment (such as infrastructure, housing, open space, etc.) in lower opportunity areas.	Zone changes or other policies (other than those listed above) that increase low and moderate-income housing and affordability in High Resource and Highest Resource areas, as designated in the 2021 TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps.	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Displacement risk studies	Publicly available database of affordable housing properties at risk of losing affordability restrictions through the expiration of rent restrictions or tenant voucher programs	Proactive monitoring of housing at risk of losing affordability restrictions and proactive enforcement of state-mandated tenant notification provisions	Count "Yes"		Points Earned
ESC-2	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	3	15	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	12.5
IB-2	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	2	10	No	No	No	0	0	10
NC-1	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	3	15	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	12.5
NC-3	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	3	15	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	12.5
NC-5	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	3	15	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	12.5
NC-7	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	3	15	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	12.5
SM-3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	1	5	Yes	No	No	1	2.5	7.5
OC-2	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5	25	Yes	No	Yes	2	5	12.5
DM-1	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	5	25	No	No	No	0	0	12.5
DM-3	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	5	25	No	No	No	0	0	12.5
ENC-1	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	2	10	Yes	No	No	1	2.5	12.5
ENC-2	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	2	10	Yes	No	No	1	2.5	12.5
LM-1	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	2	10	No	No	No	0	0	10
LG-1	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	5	25	Yes	No	Yes	2	5	12.5
SD-2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8	40	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	12.5
SD-4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8	40	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	12.5
SD-7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8	40	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	12.5
SB-1	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	2	10	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	12.5
SB-2	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	2	10	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	12.5
VS-2	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	4	20	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	7.5	12.5
CNTY-2	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	0	0	12.5
EL-1	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	1	5	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	10
EL-3	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	1	5	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	10

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

VLOOKUP TOTAL BP 33 SCORE

Application ID	Total BP 33 Score
ESC-2	25
IB-2	22.5
NC-1	25
NC-3	25
NC-5	25
NC-7	25
SM-3	20
OC-2	25
DM-1	25
DM-3	25
ENC-1	25
ENC-2	25
LM-1	22.5
LG-1	25
SD-2	25
SD-4	25
SD-7	25
SB-1	25
SB-2	25
VS-2	25
CNTY-2	25
EL-1	22.5
EL-3	22.5

Capital Evaluation Workbook - Final Results

Available Funding*: \$ 33,737,894

Application ID	Agency Name	Project Title	Qualitative Criteria (Evaluators)						Quantitative Criteria (Grants & Data Science Team)	Total Application Score (Quantitative + Qualitative)	SGIP Funding Request	SGIP Funding Recommendation	Remaining Available Funding
			E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	Average Score					
OC-2	Oceanside	Coast Highway Corridor Improvements Phase 1	31.0	30.0	40.0	33.0	36.0	34.0	58.0	92.00	\$ 3,499,474	\$ 3,499,474	\$ 30,238,420
NC-7	National City	National City Boulevard Smart Growth Revitalization Project	37.0	32.0	33.0	32.0	32.0	33.2	56.0	89.20	\$ 3,499,450	\$ 3,499,450	\$ 26,738,970
NC-1	National City	16th and 18th Street Community Corridors	36.0	36.0	34.0	36.0	27.0	33.8	54.0	87.80	\$ 3,477,895	\$ -	\$ 26,738,970
EL-1	El Cajon	El Cajon Boulevard Streetscape Phase 2	36.0	33.0	39.0	31.0	28.0	33.4	53.5	86.90	\$ 2,712,275	\$ 2,712,275	\$ 24,026,695
NC-3	National City	Civic Center Drive Bicycle Connections	35.0	37.0	36.0	34.0	33.0	35.0	51.0	86.00	\$ 3,116,740	\$ -	\$ 24,026,695
ESC-2	Escondido	Grand Avenue Vision Project	32.0	19.0	39.0	28.0	19.0	27.4	57.0	84.40	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 22,026,695
SD-7	San Diego	Vibrant C: Redefining the C Street Corridor	35.0	33.0	35.0	28.0	26.0	31.4	54.0	85.40	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 18,776,695
NC-5	National City	Highland Avenue Mobility Mini-Hubs	34.0	32.0	33.0	35.0	31.0	33.0	52.0	85.00	\$ 3,500,000	\$ -	\$ 18,776,695
SD-2	San Diego	Chollas Creek to Bayshore Bikeway	37.0	36.0	35.0	30.0	32.0	34.0	50.0	84.00	\$ 3,500,000	\$ -	\$ 18,776,695
VS-2	Vista	City of Vista W. Bobier Dr. Complete Streets	34.0	30.0	37.0	30.0	36.0	33.4	50.0	83.40	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 15,276,695
SB-1	Solana Beach	Connect Glencrest - Glencrest Drive Pedestrian Connectivity Project	30.0	28.0	34.0	31.0	31.0	30.8	52.0	82.80	\$ 605,000	\$ 605,000	\$ 14,671,695
SM-3	San Marcos	San Marcos Boulevard Multi-Modal Improvements	32.0	29.0	36.0	29.0	30.0	31.2	50.0	81.20	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 11,171,695
SD-4	San Diego	La Jolla Streetscape Project	31.0	27.0	26.0	27.0	25.0	27.2	53.0	80.20	\$ 3,500,000	\$ -	\$ 11,171,695
SB-2	Solana Beach	Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvements - West of Interstate 5	28.0	24.0	33.0	29.0	31.0	29.0	50.0	79.00	\$ 3,500,000	\$ -	\$ 11,171,695
IB-2	Imperial Beach	Palm Avenue Streetscape and Gateway Project	34.0	32.0	36.0	25.0	18.0	29.0	49.5	78.50	\$ 2,399,000	\$ 2,399,000	\$ 8,772,695
ENC-1	Encinitas	La Costa Avenue Pedestrian Path	31.0	32.0	30.0	29.0	29.0	30.2	48.0	78.20	\$ 1,197,238	\$ 1,197,238	\$ 7,575,457
ENC-2	Encinitas	Trail 82 - Rancho Santa Fe Road Improvements	30.0	24.0	30.0	26.0	27.0	27.4	50.0	77.40	\$ 3,477,310	\$ -	\$ 7,575,457
LG-1	Lemon Grove	Broadway and Sweetwater Road Intersection Improvements	36.0	23.0	29.0	28.0	39.0	31.0	46.0	77.00	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 4,075,457
DM-3	Del Mar	Camino Del Mar Bridge Replacement Project	29.0	29.0	24.0	27.0	20.0	25.8	50.0	75.80	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 1,375,457
CNTY-2	County	Poinsettia Avenue Active Transportation Improvements Project	32.0	29.0	29.0	29.0	34.0	30.6	46.0	76.60	\$ 2,080,198	\$ 1,375,457	\$ -
LM-1	La Mesa	La Mesa Boulevard (Blvd.) Complete Streets Gateway Roundabout	37.0	31.0	34.0	32.0	30.0	32.8	43.5	76.30	\$ 3,500,000	\$ -	\$ -
EL-3	El Cajon	Safety Corridors Traffic Signal Optimizations	34.0	19.0	25.0	16.0	32.0	25.2	47.5	72.70	\$ 1,394,096	\$ -	\$ -
DM-1	Del Mar	City of Del Mar 10th Street Affordable Housing	30.0	26.0	31.0	25.0	32.0	28.8	41.0	69.80	\$ 3,500,000	\$ -	\$ -
											\$ 66,908,676	\$ 33,737,894	

*Available funding includes \$30 million allocated in the call for projects to Capital projects, and an additional \$1,001,500 in carryover funding from CAP and \$2,736,394 from Planning.

- Recommended for full funding
- Recommended for partial funding
- Not Recommended for funding due to geographic funding distribution
- Not Recommended for funding due to insufficient funding