

**SGIP Cycle 6
Climate Action Planning Projects Evaluation Workbook**

CAP Evaluation Workbook - Application Index

Application ID	Applicant Name	Contact Name	Project Title	Shortened Project Title	Brief Project Summary	SGIP Grant Request Amount	Total Matching Funds Provided	Total Project Cost
IB-1	Imperial Beach	Reyna Ayala	Climate Action Plan Update	CAP Update	To keep the City's CAP current and effective, the city plans to update its Climate Action Plan, including the greenhouse gas emissions inventory, and develop new emission reduction strategies. This process will involve community outreach to gather input and ensure the plan meets local needs and priorities.	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 150,000
NC-2	National City	Martin Reeder	National City Climate Action Plan Implementation Monitoring	NC CAP Implmentation and Monitoring	Implementation of an on-going monitoring program of CAP implementation, including regular tracking, and occasional re-inventory of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.	\$ 234,500	\$ 59,000	\$ 293,500
SM-1	San Marcos	Joseph Farace	Climate Action Plan (CAP)	CAP	Update to existing City of San Marcos CAP	\$ 315,000	\$ 9,450	\$ 324,450
DM-2	Del Mar	Kaitlyn Elliot-Norgrove	Climate Action Plan Update and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Project	CAP Update and GHG Inventory Project	Project will develop an updated CAP and develop greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) inventories for 2 years.	\$ 164,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 239,000
SD-3	San Diego	Heidi Vonblum	City of San Diego Climate Action Plan Update	CoSD CAP Update	Maintain alignment of the city's CAP with State targets and goals for reducing emissions through actions that deliver core community benefits.	\$ 400,000	\$ 100,070	\$ 500,070
SB-3	Solana Beach	Nicole Grucky	Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory Project	Regional GHG Inventory Project	Complete multiple community-wide greenhouse has emission inventories.	\$ 400,000	\$ 62,423	\$ 462,423
VS-3	Vista	Cassidy McCarthy	Updating the City of Vista's Climate Action Plan	Vista CAP	Updating City of Vista 2021 Climate Action Plan	\$ 335,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 420,000
						\$ 1,998,500	\$ 390,943	\$ 2,389,443

CAP Evaluation Workbook - Evaluator Scores (E1)

SGIP Evaluator #1 Score Sheet

Evaluator Instructions:

Step 1: Review the SGIP Cycle 6 Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric included in your Evaluator Packet.

Step 2: Score each project application's qualitative responses (non-blacked out box) using the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric. While most qualitative responses can be found in the Project Narrative section of the Project Application, others can be found in the Project Scope of Work and other application materials. SANDAG staff will score the quantitative (blacked-out columns) responses against the quantitative evaluation criteria, which vary based on project type. To score the qualitative responses, select a number from the drop-down menu which corresponds to the options in the scoring rubric. Do not select a zero unless a response to a criterion is missing or is so incomplete or incoherent that you cannot reasonably understand or infer the meaning of the response. The Total Evaluator Score per project will auto populate.

Step 2: Score Project Applications

Application ID	Project Title	Evaluation Criteria										Total Evaluator Score
		1			2		3		4		5	
		A.	B.	C.	A.	B.	A.	B.	A.	B.	A.	
		Up to 4 points	Up to 8 points	Up to 8 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 5 points	Up to 10 points	
IB-1	Climate Action Plan Update		8	8	10	14	15	8				63
NC-2	National City Climate Action Plan Implementation Monitoring		8	8	10	14	10	8				58
SM-1	Climate Action Plan (CAP)		8	8	10	12	14	8				60
DM-2	Climate Action Plan Update and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Project		8	8	10	14	14	8				62
SD-3	City of San Diego Climate Action Plan Update		8	8	10	14	12	9				61
SB-3	Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory Project		8	8	10	14	14	8				62
VS-3	Updating the City of Vista's Climate Action Plan		8	8	10	14	11	8				59

CAP Evaluation Workbook - Evaluator Scores (E2)

SGIP Evaluator #2 Score Sheet

Evaluator Instructions:

Step 1: Review the SGIP Cycle 6 Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric included in your Evaluator Packet.

Step 2: Score each project application's qualitative responses (non-blacked out box) using the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric. While most qualitative responses can be found in the Project Narrative section of the Project Application, others can be found in the Project Scope of Work and other application materials. SANDAG staff will score the quantitative (blacked-out columns) responses against the quantitative evaluation criteria, which vary based on project type. To score the qualitative responses, select a number from the drop-down menu which corresponds to the options in the scoring rubric. Do not select a zero unless a response to a criterion is missing or is so incomplete or incoherent that you cannot reasonably understand or infer the meaning of the response. The Total Evaluator Score per project will auto populate.

Step 2: Score Project Applications

Application ID	Project Title	Evaluation Criteria										Total Evaluator Score
		1			2		3		4		5	
		A.	B.	C.	A.	B.	A.	B.	A.	B.	A.	
		Up to 4 points	Up to 8 points	Up to 8 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 5 points	Up to 10 points	
IB-1	Climate Action Plan Update		8	8	9	15	6	9				55
NC-2	National City Climate Action Plan Implementation Monitoring		0	0	4	15	15	6				40
SM-1	Climate Action Plan (CAP)		4	8	1	0	15	10				38
DM-2	Climate Action Plan Update and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Project		8	8	8	12	15	4				55
SD-3	City of San Diego Climate Action Plan Update		4	8	10	15	15	10				62
SB-3	Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory Project		0	0	3	3	14	2				22
VS-3	Updating the City of Vista's Climate Action Plan		8	8	10	15	15	6				62

Note: Scores of zero (0) were given because applicant(s) did not provide a response in the location where the criteria would be explained in the application. This topic was discussed at an evaluator debrief meeting held on July 22, 2025, and the evaluator elected not to change their score as a result of the discussion.

CAP Evaluation Workbook - Evaluator Scores (E3)

SGIP Evaluator #3 Score Sheet

Evaluator Instructions:

Step 1: Review the SGIP Cycle 6 Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric included in your Evaluator Packet.

Step 2: Score each project application's qualitative responses (non-blacked out box) using the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Rubric. While most qualitative responses can be found in the Project Narrative section of the Project Application, others can be found in the Project Scope of Work and other application materials. SANDAG staff will score the quantitative (blacked-out columns) responses against the quantitative evaluation criteria, which vary based on project type. To score the qualitative responses, select a number from the drop-down menu which corresponds to the options in the scoring rubric. Do not select a zero unless a response to a criterion is missing or is so incomplete or incoherent that you cannot reasonably understand or infer the meaning of the response. The Total Evaluator Score per project will auto populate.

Step 2: Score Project Applications

Application ID	Project Title	Evaluation Criteria										Total Evaluator Score	
		1			2		3		4		5		
		A.	B.	C.	A.	B.	A.	B.	A.	B.	A.		
		Up to 4 points	Up to 8 points	Up to 8 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 15 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 10 points	Up to 5 points	Up to 10 points		
IB-1	Climate Action Plan Update		8	6	7	10	13	6				50	
NC-2	National City Climate Action Plan Implementation Monitoring		8	7	6	12	13	10				56	
SM-1	Climate Action Plan (CAP)		6	5	6	10	11	4				42	
DM-2	Climate Action Plan Update and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Project		6	6	7	11	13	9				52	
SD-3	City of San Diego Climate Action Plan Update		8	7	7	11	12	8				53	
SB-3	Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory Project		6	7	7	12	13	8				53	
VS-3	Updating the City of Vista's Climate Action Plan		6	5	7	11	12	7				48	

CAP Evaluation Workbook - BP33

PART A - PROHOUSING POLICIES

Application ID	Prohousing Designation*		
	Prohousing Designation	Count "Yes"	Points Earned
IB-1	No	0	0
NC-2	No	0	0
SM-1	No	0	0
DM-2	No	0	0
SD-3	Yes	1	12.5
SB-3	No	0	0
VS-3	No	0	0

Cells in yellow indicate places where data needs to be filled in.
Cells in white indicate places where data is populated by a formula or VLOOKUP.

*For Categories 1-4 below, applicants with a ProHousing Designation received a "No" under each ProHousing Policy Category because they already received the full points for this criteria by having the ProHousing Designation.

Application ID	Category 1: Favorable Zoning and Land Use Policies														Total Points Earned (Max 5 points)
	Policies worth 5 points each					Policies worth 2.5 points each									
	Housing element plan for zoned capacity of >150% of RHNA	Permitting missing middle uses (e.g., duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes, townhomes) in existing low-density single-family zones	Eliminating minimum parking requirements	Allowing residential in commercial zones	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Allowing more/larger accessory dwelling units (ADUs) than state law requires	Density bonus that is >10% more than state requirements	Established Workforce Housing Opportunity Zone (WHOZ) or housing sustainability district	Standards to promote more density	Housing element plan for zoned capacity of >125% of RHNA	Reduced parking requirements	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	
IB-1	No	No	No	Yes	1	5	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	5	
NC-2	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	10	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5	12.5	5	
SM-1	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	2.5	
DM-2	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5	
SD-3	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
SB-3	Yes	No	No	Yes	2	10	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	2	5	5	
VS-3	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	10	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5	

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

Application ID	Category 2: Acceleration of Housing Production Timeframes																	Total Points Earned (Max 5 points)
	Policies worth 5 points each							Policies worth 2.5 points each										
	Ministerial approval of housing	Streamlined/program-level California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for general plans/specific plans etc.	Permit process that is less than two months	Elimination of public hearings for projects consistent with zoning/general plan	One-stop shop permitting processes or single point of contact	Priority permit processing or reduced plan check times for ADUs/junior ADUs, multifamily, or affordable housing	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Streamlined housing development at the project level	Permit process that is less than four months	Three public hearings limit for projects consistent with zoning/general plan	Eliminated or replaced subjective design standards with objective standards that simplify zoning	Standard entitlement application	Publicly posting online status updates on permit approvals	Count "Yes"	Points Earned		
IB-1	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5	
NC-2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	5	25	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	3	7.5	5	
SM-1	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	20	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5	
DM-2	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4	20	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	1	2.5	5	
SD-3	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0	
SB-3	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	10	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5	
VS-3	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	3	15	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	3	7.5	5	

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

Application ID	Category 3: Reduction of Construction and Development Costs												Total Points Earned (Max 5 points)
	Policies worth 5 points each					Policies worth 2.5 points each							
	Waived development impact fees for housing	Adopted universal design ordinances	Preapproved prototype plans for missing middle housing (e.g., duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes, townhomes)	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Measures that reduce costs for transportation-related infrastructure or that encourage active transit or other alternatives to cars	Reduced development impact fees for housing	Less restrictive ADU standards than state requirements	Fee reduction, including deferrals or reduced fees for housing with people with special needs	Promoting innovative housing types that reduced development costs	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	
IB-1	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0
NC-2	Yes	Yes	No	2	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	3	7.5	5
SM-1	No	No	No	0	0	Yes	No	No	No	No	1	2.5	2.5
DM-2	No	Yes	No	1	5	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	2	5	5
SD-3	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	0	0	0
SB-3	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	5	5
VS-3	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	15	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3	7.5	5

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

CAP Evaluation Workbook - BP33

Application ID	Category 4: Providing Financial Subsidies										Total Points Earned (Max 5 points)	
	Policies worth 5 points each					Policies worth 2.5 points each						
	Local housing trust fund or collaboration on regional fund	Program to comply with Surplus Lands Act and make publicly owned land available for affordable housing	Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD)	Prioritization of local general funds for affordable housing	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Grants/low-interest loans for affordable ADUs	Direct residual redevelopment funds to affordable housing	Development and regular use of housing subsidy pool, local/regional trust, or similar funding source	Count "Yes"		Points Earned
IB-1	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	Yes	No	1	2.5	2.5
NC-2	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	Yes	Yes	No	2	5	5
SM-1	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5
DM-2	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3	15	No	No	No	0	0	5
SD-3	No	No	No	No	0	0	No	No	No	0	0	0
SB-3	No	Yes	No	No	1	5	No	No	No	0	0	5
VS-3	No	Yes	No	Yes	2	10	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	5

VLOOKUP PART A - PROHOUSING POLICIES

Application ID	Prohousing Designation	Category 1: Favorable Zoning and Land Use Policies	Category 2: Acceleration of Housing Production Timelines	Category 3: Reduction of Construction and Development Costs	Category 4: Providing Financial Subsidies	Total Points Earned (Max 12.5 Points)
IB-1	0	5	5	0	2.5	12.5
NC-2	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
SM-1	0	2.5	5	2.5	5	12.5
DM-2	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
SD-3	12.5	0	0	0	0	12.5
SB-3	0	5	5	5	5	12.5
VS-3	0	5	5	5	5	12.5

PART B - HOUSING EQUITY/AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

Application ID	Housing Equity/Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing													Total Points Earned (Max 12.5 points)		
	Policies worth 5 points each								Policies worth 2.5 points each							
	An adopted inclusionary housing ordinance	Rent stabilization policies (lowhomes) in existing low-density single-family zones	Anti-displacement policies in conjunction with transit improvements	Strategies or funds to preserve naturally occurring affordable housing	Tenant protection policies such as access to counsel, just cause eviction policy, etc.	Rezoning and other policies that result in a net gain of low- and moderate-income housing while concurrently mitigating development impacts on or from environmentally sensitive or hazardous areas.	Programs, and land use plans and policies that are intended to result in increased investment (such as infrastructure, housing, open space, etc.) in lower opportunity areas.	Zone changes or other policies (other than those listed above) that increase low and moderate-income housing and affordability in High Resource and Highest Resource areas, as designated in the 2021 TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps.	Count "Yes"	Points Earned	Displacement risk studies	Publicly available database of affordable housing properties at risk of losing affordability restrictions through the expiration of rent restrictions or tenant voucher programs	Proactive monitoring of housing at risk of losing affordability restrictions and proactive enforcement of state-mandated tenant notification provisions		Count "Yes"	Points Earned
IB-1	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	1	5	No	No	No	0	0	5
NC-2	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	4	20	No	Yes	Yes	2	5	12.5
SM-1	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	1	5	Yes	No	No	1	2.5	7.5
DM-2	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	5	25	No	No	No	0	0	12.5
SD-3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8	40	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	12.5
SB-3	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	2	10	No	No	Yes	1	2.5	12.5
VS-3	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	4	20	Yes	Yes	Yes	3	7.5	12.5

Cells in red text and highlight indicate that the grant application exceeded the maximum points earned in the subcategory.

VLOOKUP TOTAL BP 33 SCORE

Application ID	Total BP 33 Score
IB-1	17.5
NC-2	25
SM-1	20
DM-2	25
SD-3	25
SB-3	25
VS-3	25

CAP Evaluation Workbook - All Quantitative

Cells in yellow indicate places where data needs to be filled in.
 Cells in white indicate places where data is populated by a formula or VLOOKUP.

1	
New CAP or CAP Update	
1A - New CAP or CAP Update Timeline	
Choose from drop-down	Points Earned
New CAP or CAP Update to existing CAP that was adopted 3+ years ago	4
No CAP or CAP Update, or CAP to be updated is less than 1 year old	0
New CAP or CAP Update to existing CAP that was adopted 3+ years ago	4
New CAP or CAP Update to existing CAP that was adopted 3+ years ago	4
CAP Update to existing CAP that was adopted 1-3 years ago	2
No CAP or CAP Update, or CAP to be updated is less than 1 year old	0
New CAP or CAP Update to existing CAP that was adopted 3+ years ago	4

Application ID
IB-1
NC-2
SM-1
DM-2
SD-3
SB-3
VS-3

4		
Board Policy No. 033		
A. Prohousing Policies	B. Housing Equity	Final Score
Points Earned	Points Earned	
12.5	5.0	17.5
12.5	12.5	25.0
12.5	7.5	20.0
12.5	12.5	25.0
12.5	12.5	25.0
12.5	12.5	25.0

5				
Matching Funds				
SGIP Grant Request Amount	Total Matching Funds Provided	Total Project Cost	% of Matching Funds	Points Earned
\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 150,000	0.00%	0
\$ 234,500	\$ 59,000	\$ 293,500	20.10%	5
\$ 315,000	\$ 9,450	\$ 324,450	2.91%	1
\$ 164,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 239,000	31.38%	5
\$ 400,000	\$ 100,070	\$ 500,070	20.01%	5
\$ 400,000	\$ 62,423	\$ 462,423	13.50%	3
\$ 335,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 420,000	20.24%	5

Total Quantitative Score
21.5
30.0
25.0
34.0
32.0
28.0
34.0

VLOOKUP #1

1A - New CAP or CAP Update Timeline	
Option	Points
New CAP or CAP Update to existing CAP that was adopted 3+ years ago	4
CAP Update to existing CAP that was adopted 1-3 years ago	2
No CAP or CAP Update, or CAP to be updated is less than 1 year old	0

VLOOKUP #5

Matching Funds		
Amt Min	Amt Max	Points
0.00%	0.00%	0
0.01%	5.00%	1
5.01%	10.00%	2
10.01%	15.00%	3
15.01%	20.00%	4
20.01%		5

Do not delete these rows.

CAP Evaluation Workbook - Final Results

Available Funding: \$ 3,000,000

Application ID	Agency Name	Project Title	Qualitative Criteria (Evaluators)				Quantitative Criteria (Grants & Data Science Team)	Total Application Score (Quantitative + Qualitative)	SGIP Grant Request	SGIP Funding Recommendation	Remaining Available Funding
			E1	E2	E3	Average Score					
SD-3	San Diego	City of San Diego Climate Action Plan Update	61.0	62.0	53.0	58.7	32.0	90.67	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 2,600,000
DM-2	Del Mar	Climate Action Plan Update and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Project	62.0	55.0	52.0	56.3	34.0	90.33	\$ 164,000	\$ 164,000	\$ 2,436,000
VS-3	Vista	Updating the City of Vista's Climate Action Plan	59.0	62.0	48.0	56.3	34.0	90.33	\$ 335,000	\$ 335,000	\$ 2,101,000
NC-2	National City	National City Climate Action Plan Implementation Monitoring	58.0	40.0	56.0	51.3	30.0	81.33	\$ 234,500	\$ 234,500	\$ 1,866,500
IB-1	Imperial Beach	Climate Action Plan Update	63.0	55.0	50.0	56.0	21.5	77.50	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 1,716,500
SB-3	Solana Beach	Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory Project	62.0	22.0	53.0	45.7	28.0	73.67	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 1,316,500
SM-1	San Marcos	Climate Action Plan (CAP)	60.0	38.0	42.0	46.7	25.0	71.67	\$ 315,000	\$ 315,000	\$ 1,001,500
									\$ 1,998,500	\$1,998,500	

Recommended for full funding

Note: \$1,001,500 remained after funding all of the applications. This amount was carried over to fund Capital projects.